

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/914,192	INABA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non-Final

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

n/a

**Claims discussed:**

13, 24, and 28

**Prior art documents discussed:**

n/a


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicant has authorized to correct typographical errors and improper antecedent basis found in claims 13, 24, and 28.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)